

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,508	01/20/2004	Todomu Nishino	09483/0200797-US0	4227
7278	7590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257			MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Auvisory Action	10/761,508	NISHINO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ocoa olalimo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>		-	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by When an applicant contends that additional steps or materially of," applicant has the burden of showing that change the characteristics of applicant's invention. In reconstruction of the state of the sta	terials in the prior art are excluded the introduction of additional steps De Lajarte, 337 F.2d 870, 143 US	by the recitation of "o or components woul SPQ 256 (CCPA 1964	consisting ld materially
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(FTO/35/00 OFFTO-1448) Paper N	Michael C. Miggins	AND?
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772	<b>~</b>

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The phrase "consiting essentially of" raises new issues.